pay scales and teachinig allowance announced by Government on 12th August, 1987;

(b) if so, what is Government's stand thereon;

(c) whether the Association has threatened to launch agitation if Government insisted on the implementation of the revised scales; and

(d) if so, what are the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF EDUCATION AND CULTURE IN THE MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRIMATI KRISHNA SAHI): (a) to (d) Representations have been received from various teachers' organisations requesting for certain modifications in the pay scales announced by the Government as also clarifications in regard to its implementation. Thse representations have been considered by the Government and such clarifications as were considered necessary have been issued on 3-11-1987. There is nothing on record to suggest that any of the teachers' organisations has threatened to launch an agitation in the matter. However, there is no proposal to further revise these pay scales.

Detention of Air India Airbus at Lagos

2724. SHRI CHIMANBHAI MEHTA:

SHRI DHULESHWAR MEENA:

Will the Minister of CIVIL AVIATION be pleased to refer to reply to Unstarred Question 1266 given in the Rajya Sabha on 18th November, 1987 and state:

(a) the time for which Air India Airbus was detained in Lagos, and the estimated financial loss suffered due to detention; and

(b) whether on such pretext anywhere in the World any lane of any airlines has been detained; if so, details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF CIVIL AVIATION AND THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF TOURISM (SHRI JAGDISH TYTLER): (a) on account of detention of the aircraft for 58 days, Air India has suffered an estimated loss of revenue of Rs. 458.90 lakhs.

(b) The Government have no information.

Agitation against contract labour system in Kandla Port

2725. SHRI CHIMANBHAI MEHTA:

SHRI DHULESHWAR MEENA:

Will the Minister of SURFACE TRANSPORT be pleased to state:

(a) whether agaitation is going on by the contract labour employed on permanent or long duration basis in Kandla Port and other major ports,

(b) whether the authorities of all the docks have established Port trust authorities: if so, what is the manner in which the labour personnel are represented in all these boards; what are the norms for inclusion of the representative of labour and other members of these boards; and

(c) what is the strength of various categories of labour employed in ports, including contract labour?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF SURFACE TRANSPORT (SHRI RAJESH PILOT): (a) No, Sir. However, there are demands raised from time to time for decasualisation of various categories of workers either under the Port Trusts or the Dock Labour Boards.

(b) The Major Port Trusts Act, 1963 provides for constitution of Port Trust Boards in those Ports which

have been declared as Major Ports under the Indian Ports Act, 1908. Representaton is given to not less than two members representing employees of the Port Trust. They are appointed by the Government in consultation with the registered trade Unions representing the workers employed in Port and on the basis of the strength of the Unions as vertified by the Ministry of Labour. No. representation to employees is given on the Port Trust Board of Nhave Sheva which is still in the construction stage.

(c) The strength of various categories of labour including contract labour and casual workers as on 31-10-87 employed by the Port Trusts of the 10 operating Ports and by the seven Dock Labour Boards is given below:

Port Trust	
Kandla Port Trust	4584
Bombay Port Trust	30669
Mormugao Port Trust	3712
New Mangalore Port Trust	1272
Cochin Port Trust	6524
Tuticorin Port Trust	2296
Madras Port Trust	11526
Visakhapatnam Port Trust	10966
Paradip Port Trust	4233
Calcutta Port Trust	27575
Dock Labour Boards	
Kandla Dock Labour Board	976
Bombay Dock Labour Board	11165
Mormugao Dock Labour Board	1224
Cochin Dock Labour Board	1106
Madras Dock Labour Board	2564
Visakhapatnam Dock Labour Board	2372
Calcutta Dock Labour Board	6885

Transfer policy for teachers of Navodaya Vidyalayas

2726 SHRI CHIMANBHAI MEHTA:
SHRI DHULESHWAR MEENA:

Will the Minister of HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have worked out any norms that teachers of Navodaya Vidyalayas are not to be transferred outside States and if so, what are the reasons for insistence on transfer of teachers anywhere in India; and

(b) whether there is any exception made to the general rule of transfer in any region and if so, what are the details thereof and the reasons therefor?